Certificate Of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the U.S. Patent and

Trademark Office on the date indicated below.

Jodi Calderon

Date

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:

Roger L. Johnston

Art Unit: 3652

Serial No. 10/080,982

Examiner: Paul T. Chin

Filed: February 22, 2002

Confirmation No.: 9956

For: Triangulated Mobile Gantry Crane

Attorney Docket: 1266.015

Customer No.: 23598

## STATUS INQUIRY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests the status of the above-captioned patent application since more than three (3) months have passed since the mailing of an Interview Summary, dated November 1, 2006 (copy enclosed), wherein Examiner Lillis indicated that a new Office Action will be completed by October 27, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy E. Newholm Registration No. 34400

Dated: February 9, 2007

USPTO Customer No. 23,598

Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C.

250 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1030

Milwaukee, WI 53202

Telephone: (414) 225-9755

Email: docketing@boylefred.com

cc:

Eileen D. Lillis (via facsimile) Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/080,982	02/22/2002		Roger L. Johnston	1266.015	9956
23598	7590	11/01/2006		EXAMINER	
BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C.				CHIN, PAUL T	
250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 1030				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202				3652	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/080,982 JOHNSTON, ROGER L. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3652 Eileen D. Lillis All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Eileen D. Lillis. (3)\_\_\_\_. (2) Tim Newholm. Date of Interview: 19 October 2006. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: . Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Informed applicant that the after final amendment filed July 19 2006 will be entered and a new office action will be done by October 27, 2006. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. EILEEN D. LILLIS

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600